**How**


# Performance Evaluation Instructions

* Form should be reviewed and discussed with the Consultant prior to contract negotiations. Establish performance expectations.
* Performance evaluation ratings include a reflection of sub-consultants used by the prime consultant.
* Evaluation may include additional criteria, noted as 7. “Other Criteria (As Agreed)”, as mutually agreed to by both parties in advance of performing contract work(e.g. public involvement or volume of work
* Provide justification for performance ratings above or below “Meets Std.” Include examples.
* The evaluator and the consultant should understand and discuss at the beginning of the work and during the evaluation process that a “Meets Standard” score should be interpreted as a positive score. It simply means that the product was delivered as expected and that it meets the requirement of the work. As a reference, a “Meets Standard” score would indicate that the product was similar to what WSDOT would expect from a typical design team from WSDOT.

## When

### Final Evaluation

* Complete and distribute a performance evaluation at the point of termination of the agreement. Distribute the form as

specified at bottom of form.

### Interim Evaluation

* Interim evaluations should be performed as follows:
	1. At phase transitions
	2. When project management changes occur
	3. Provide consultant with constructive feedback in order to correct poor performance
	4. Annually if none of the other conditions occur Distribute as specified at the bottom of the form.
* Distribute as specified at the bottom of the form.

### Subconsultant Evaluation

* For sub-consultants with significant project participation (more than $100,000) an evaluation is recommended. Ensure coordination and review with the prime consultant prior to distribution.
* Performance evaluation ratings include a reflection of sub-consultants used by the prime consultant.
* Distribute as specified at the bottom of the form,including prime consultant and sub-consultant.

## Why

* Meaningful evaluations provide consultants with constructive feedback to improve performance and meet WSDOT expectations. Scores from these evaluations factor into “Past Performance” ratings, which are used to help determine selection of future consultants. In addition, poor ratings may lead to being disqualified to perform WSDOT work and elimination from pre-qualification status.
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# Performance Evaluation Consultant Services



|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Consultant NameClick or tap here to enter text. | Evaluation Type [ ]  Interim | [ ]  Subconsultant | [ ]  Final |
| Project TitleClick or tap here to enter text. |
| Consultant AddressClick or tap here to enter text. | Agreement NumberClick or tap here to enter text. |
|  | Type of Agreement |
|  | [ ]  Lump Sum |
| Type of Work |  |  |  |  | [ ]  Hourly Rate |
| [ ]  Study | [ ]  Design | [ ]  R/W | [ ]  PS&E | [ ]  Other (Specify Below): | [ ]  Cost Plus Fixed Fee |
|  Click or tap here to enter text. | [ ]  Other |
| Complexity of Work | Date Agreement Approved |  |
| [ ]  Difficult [ ]  Routine |  Click or tap to enter a date. |  |
| Amount of Original Agreement$ Click or tap here to enter text. | Total Amount Modifications$ Click or tap here to enter text. | Total Amount Agreement$ Click or tap here to enter text. |
| Completion Date Including ExtensionsClick or tap to enter a date. | Actual Completion DateClick or tap to enter a date. | Actual Total Paid$ Click or tap here to enter text. |
| Type and Extent of SubcontractingClick or tap here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| Performance Rating Scale (From Average Score Below) S AR MR BR P Superior Above Std. Meets Std. Below Std. Poor |
| **Standard Criteria** | **Comments (Justify Above & Below Ratings)** | **Rating** |
| 1. NegotiationsCooperative and responsiveAdhered to WSDOT guidelines on fee. Met negotiation schedule.Open and honest communications.Willingness to negotiate in good faith | Click or tap here to enter text. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 2. Cost / BudgetFinished within agreed budget, including all supplements Appropriate level of effort (Cost commensurate with work) Reasonable direct, non-salary expenses (Approx. xx% -yy%) | Click or tap here to enter text. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 3. ScheduleComplete within agreement schedule including supplements. Achieved schedule (Including all supplements).Prompt response to review comments Adapted to changes by WSDOTNotified WSDOT early regarding schedule issues | Click or tap here to enter text. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 4. Technical QualityWork products meet WSDOT design policy & standards Performed appropriate quality control and assurance Responds to review comments in subsequent submission Pursued innovative design solutionsDelivered “compatible” electronic filesImplemented principles of practical design | Click or tap here to enter text. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
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|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 5. CommunicationsClear and concise communication (Oral, written, drawings). Demonstrates an understanding of oral and written instructionsCommunicated at intervals appropriate for continual progress | Click or tap here to enter text. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 6. ManagementProvided creative cost control measuresSubmitted appropriate, periodic, accurate progress reports Accurate and timely invoicingConducted meetings efficientlyLimited the number of consultant-initiated contractmodifications / supplements Collaborated effectively with WSDOT ResponsiveManaged subconsultants effectively | Click or tap here to enter text. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 7. Other Criteria (As agreed) | Click or tap here to enter text. | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| **Overall Rating** | Click or tap here to enter text. | Click or tap here to enter text. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Rated By (Project Manager Name and Title)Click or tap here to enter text. | Project Manager Signature | DateClick or tap to enter a date. |
| Rated By (Area Consultant Liaison Name and Title)Click or tap here to enter text. | Area Consultant Liaison Signature | DateClick or tap to enter a date. |
| Executive Review (Name and Title)Click or tap here to enter text. | Executive Signature | DateClick or tap to enter a date. |
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